

BEAM LOSS DETECTED BY SCINTILLATION MONITOR

Akihiko Miura[#], Tomofumi Maruta, Hiroyuki Sako, Kazuo Hasegawa, Nobuo Ouchi
 J-PARC Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-1195, JAPAN
 ZenEi Igarashi, Tomoaki Miyao, Masanori Ikegami
 J-PARC Center, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization,
 Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, JAPAN

Abstract

Ar-CO₂ gas proportional beam loss monitors (BLMs) have measured the beam loss through operations, but they are also sensitive to background noise of X-ray emitted from RF cavities. For the future energy upgrade of J-PARC linac, because 21 RF cavities are additionally installed in the beam line, the background noise would become a serious problem. We employed the scintillation monitors to measure the beam loss which would bring more accurate beam loss measurements with suppression of X-ray noise. As the measurement results, a good signal to noise ratio is obtained by the scintillation monitor with low sensitivity to the background X-ray. In addition, the availability of the scintillation monitor is also confirmed at the low energy part of the linac. In this paper, we summarize the characteristic results of the beam loss measurement at the high energy part using a scintillation monitor and describe the results taken at the low energy part additionally.

INTRODUCTION

The user operation of J-PARC linac was started in December 2008. Since then, we have experienced the operational issues including those caused by uncontrolled beam losses. Mechanisms and cures for the uncontrolled beam losses have been identified in the beam studies, and we have succeeded in mitigating them. As we introduced that a beam loss possibly caused by the H⁰ particles generated by the interaction between H⁻ beam and residual gas in the beam line were observed [1-2].

In the linac operation, Ar-CO₂ gas proportional counters have been employed for the measurement of beam loss [3], but they are also sensitive to background noise of X-ray emitted from RF cavities. We employed the scintillation monitors to measure the beam loss which would bring more accurate beam loss measurements with suppression of X-ray noise [4].

The preliminary results of the beam loss measurement at the high energy part have been already introduced [1]. Additionally we measured the beam loss at the low energy part, then these results are also summarized.

BEAM LOSS SIMULATION

Simulation Program

In order to develop the new beam loss detecting system for the future energy upgraded linac, the beam loss simulation using the GEANT4 to estimate the particle distribution which is generated by the scattering between

the beam particles and the residual gas [5].

J-PARC linac consists of an ion source, an RFQ (Radio Frequency Quadrupole), three DTL (Drift Tube Linac) cavities and fifteen pairs of SDTL (Separated-type DTL) cavities. Because the output energy from the DTL cavities reaches 50 MeV, this energy is assumed as an initial condition. All RF cavities, the quadrupole magnets and the beam pipes are defined as the boundary conditions. Because the gas pressure inside the beam duct is 1.0e-4 to 1.0e-6 [Pa] during the operation and its components are the N₂ and H₂ and hydrocarbon mainly, the nitrogen gas (N₂) with 1.0e-5 [Pa] is assumed in the beam pipe.

Hadrons Generated by Beam Loss

In this simulation, 1.0e+12 H⁻ particles with the initial momentum are assumed consistently and travel from the SDTL section. H⁰ particles are mainly generated by the interaction between H⁻ particles and residual gas. Because the neutral hydrogen particles are transported to the downstream with the initial momentum, the particles with the imperceptible angles travel ~ 40 m downstream (Fig. 1). In the Fig. 1, the color indicates the frequency compared with the assumed particle number. After the travel to the downstream, they have the collision with a beam duct and the structural materials, and they are transported to the other hadrons. Scattering occurred frequently at the low energy part of the linac (~ 50 m), because the cross section of the reaction from H⁻ to H⁰ is getting larger with the smaller momentum. And there are three points where the less events of the collision occur due to the increasing the diameter of the beam duct.




Figure 1: Position and frequency of the scattering between H⁰ and beam duct. Negative hydrogen (H⁻) particles are scattered with residual gas and H⁰ particles are generated. Generated particles travel to the downstream and scatter with a beam duct.

[#]miura.akihiko@jaea.go.jp

Candidate Device for Beam Loss Measurement

Hadrons are estimated to be produced by the collision between H^0 and beam duct (Fig. 2). Because the scattered hadrons are mainly consists of the gamma-ray, neutron or proton, the beam loss is the measured by these hadrons.

Because the present gas proportional counter is sensitive for the X-ray emitted from RF cavities, the sensors which are sensitive for the gamma-ray, neutron or proton are the candidate devices for the beam loss measurement. It is thought that the combination of the gamma-ray sensitive scintillation monitor and the neutron sensitive gas monitor is one of the suitable beam loss detectors and we fabricated the scintillation monitor to confirm the performance.




Figure 2: Generated hadrons by the interaction between H^0 and the beam duct.

BEAM LOSS MEASUREMENT WITH SCINTILLATION MONITOR

Plastic Scintillation Monitor

Plastic scintillation monitors with less X-ray sensitivity are employed and installed to measure the beam loss. We used photo-multipliers of Hamamatsu H3164-10 with the gain of 1.1×10^6 , the peak wavelength of 420 nm, and the rise time of 0.8 nsec (Fig. 3). The plastic scintillator is Saint-Gobain BC-408 with the peak emission wavelength of 425 nm and rise time of 0.9 nsec, which matches the photomultiplier.

Three plastic scintillation monitors are employed and installed to measure the beam loss. One of them is installed in upstream and downstream of SDTL section to compare the signals obtained from both the gas proportional counter and the plastic scintillation monitor. Others are installed in the part of A0BT and L3BT section where the high residual radioactivity is usually measured. Each monitor is set just behind the proportional counter.



Figure 3: Plastic scintillation monitor.

Beam Loss Measurement with Scintillation Monitor

We measured beam loss in the downstream part of SDTL section (SDTL13 or SDTL16), the upstream part of ACS section (ACS03), and around the first arc in L3BT section (L3BT21). Additionally we measured beam loss in

the upstream part of SDTL section (SDTL04 or SDTL05) whose output energy reaches 90 ~ 100 MeV.

We operated the photomultipliers with the high voltage at -600 ~ -700V. The raw signal is amplified by 1~10 times with a pre-amplifier (Giga G5106). We measured the counts of particles which deposit a high energy loss in the scintillation monitors. We collected waveform data of macro pulses using a 12.5-GHz Tektronix oscilloscope DPO71254-R3.

As the result, only the signal from gas proportional counters is observed, even the no beam current (Fig. 4). Because the gas proportional counter received the high background of X-ray emitted from RF cavities, the essential beam loss cannot be detected by the gas proportional counter. Therefore we measured beam loss with a plastic scintillation monitors.

Figure 5 shows the beam loss signals obtained from a gas proportional counter and a scintillation monitor.




Figure 4: Signals from a gas proportional monitor (green) and a plastic scintillation monitor (magenta) at SDTL13 section, during no beam operation. The beam current signal with a current transformer is also shown (yellow).




Figure 5: Signals from a gas proportional monitor (green) and plastic scintillation monitor (magenta) at SDTL13 section, during beam operation with chopped beam. The beam current signal with a current transformer is also shown (yellow).

Signals from a gas proportional counter has an RF pulse width (600us), but a signal from scintillation monitor indicates the signal with the width (200us) of an actual beam pulse. A good signal to noise ratio is observed for the plastic scintillation monitor (Fig. 5), with almost no sensitivity of the background X-ray.

Time resolution of the scintillation monitor is good enough to measure beam loss caused by the chopped pulse (Fig. 6). Width of the scintillation signal by one event is several tens order nsec. Time delay of the scintillation signal is almost 160 nsec and this delay is corresponding to the time constant of the pre amplifier. Delay of the measured beam current is within 1 nsec.

In test measurements with plastic scintillation monitor, we successfully measured clear beam loss signals with low noise. And the time resolution of the scintillation

monitor is high enough. We will employ the scintillation monitor combined with gas proportional counter in the future beam loss measurement system.

Figure 7 show the measurement result by the scintillation monitors installed at the low energy part (SDTL04 and 05). Because pulse height is smaller than those measured at the high energy part (Fig. 5 and 6), the ratio of the signal to noise is small. However the signals generated from the beam loss was much clearly detected.

SUMMARY

Because the Ar-CO₂ gas proportional counter is sensitive to background noise of X-ray emitted from RF cavities, the plastic scintillation counter with less X-ray sensitivity is employed to measure the beam loss. We successfully measured clear beam loss signals with low noise and confirmed the high time resolution. This result agrees with the simulation result which estimated the secondary hadrons generated from the interaction between the H⁰ particles and the beam pipe. Even the low energy part, as the estimation using the simulation, gamma-ray was generated. The scintillation which has the gamma-ray sensitivity can be available to detect the beam loss.

Based on the simulation technology, we can also optimize the detecting position and the shape of the scintillation head. Then, a measurement of the emission position and the angle distributions of protons due to the beam loss are being planned. This plan is introduced and this result would lead to clarify the source of beam loss and verify the optimized position. Furthermore, the He-3 proportional counter as the neutron detector will be tested to confirm the detection of the estimated hadrons.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Miura et al., "Residual Gas Pressure Dependence on Beam Loss", Proc. of LINAC10, September 2010, TUP075, Tsukuba, Japan.
- [2] M. Ikegami et al., "Recent Progress in the Beam Commissioning of J-PARC Linac", Proc. of the first International Particle Accelerator Conference (IPAC'10), Kyoto, Japan, MOPD041.
- [3] A. Miura et al., "Study of Beam Loss Measurement in J-PARC Linac", Proc. of PAC09, May 2009, TH5RFP096, Vancouver, Canada.
- [4] A. Miura et al., "Status of Beam Loss Evaluation at J-PARC Linac", Proc. of LINAC10, September 2010, TUP076, Tsukuba, Japan.
- [5] T. Maruta et al., "Beamloss Study at J-PARC Linac by using Geant4 Simulation", in these proceedings, WEPS047.




Figure 6: Signals from a gas proportional monitor (blue) and plastic scintillation monitor (yellow) at SDTL13 section, during beam operation with chopped beam. Time scale is extended to 400nsec/div. The beam current signal with a current transformer is also shown (magenta).




Figure 7: Signals from scintillation monitor installed at the low energy part (SDTL4 & 5). Beam current is also indicated. Signals are mainly detected with the beam current.